1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
2		N DISTRICT OF TEXAS USTON DIVISION	
3) CASE NO: 22-33553-cml	
4)) . Hougton Towas	
5	ALEXANDER E. JONES,) Houston, Texas)	
6	Debtor.) Monday, December 19, 2022)	
7) 3:00 P.M. to 4:24 P.M.	
8)	
9	TRIAL		
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER M. LOPEZ UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE		
11	ONITED SI	ALES BANKRUPICI JUDGE	
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	For Free Speech Systems:	RAYMOND WILLIAM BATTAGLIA Law Offices of Ray Battaglia PLLC	
14		66 Granburg Circle San Antonio, TX 78218	
15		RJ SHANNON	
16		Shannon & Lee LLP 700 Milam Street, Suite 1300	
17		Houston, TX 77002	
18	For US Trustee:	JAYSON B. RUFF HA MINH NGUYEN	
19		Office of the US Trustee 515 Rusk Avenue, Suite 316	
20		Houston, TX 77002	
21	For PQPR Holdings Limited, LLC:	STEPHEN WAYNE LEMMON	
22	Limited, LLC:	Streusand Landon Ozburn Lemmon LLP	
23		1801 S. Mopac Expressway, Suite 320 Austin, TX 78746	
24			
25			

1	For the Creditors:	MARTY L. BRIMMAGE SARA LYNNE BRAUNER
2		DAVID M. ZENSKY Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
3		2300 N. Field Street, Suite 1800 Dallas, TX 75201
4		RYAN E. CHAPPLE
5		Cain & Skarnulis PLLC
6		303 Colorado Street, Suite 2850 Austin, TX 78701
7		AVI MOSHENBERG NICK R. LAWSON
8		McDowell Hetherington LLP
9		1001 Fannin Street, Suite 2400 Houston, TX 77002
10	For Melissa A. Haselen:	ELIZABETH CAROL FREEMAN The Law Office of Liz Freeman
11		PO Box 61209 Houston, TX 77208-1209
12		
13	For Debtor Alex Jones:	Crowe & Dunlevy, PC
14		2525 McKinnon Street, Suite 425 Dallas, TX 75201
15		SHELBY A. JORDAN
16		Jordan & Ortiz, PC 500 N. Shoreline, Suite 900 N
17		Corpus Christi, TX 78401
18	For Schwartz Associates:	MICHAEL P. RIDULFO Kane Russell Coleman Logan 5151 San Felipe, Suite 800
19		Houston, TX 77056
20	Court Reporter:	ZILDE MARTINEZ
21	Courtroom Deputy:	ZILDE MARTINEZ
22	Transcribed by:	Veritext Legal Solutions 330 Old Country Road, Suite 300
23		Mineola, NY 11501 Tel: 800-727-6396
24	Dunandia 2.3.3	
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.	

1 HOUSTON, TEXAS; MONDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2022; 3:00 PM 2 DEPUTY: All right. 3 THE COURT: Okay, good afternoon, everyone. This 4 is Judge Lopez. Today is December 19th. I'm going to call 5 the 3 p.m. cases. I'm going to call the Free Speech Systems 6 case, 22-60043 and I'm going to also call at the same time 7 22-33553, the Alexander Jones case. I'm going to just tell 8 everyone I typically do this. Just a reminder that there's 9 a number of folks on the cameras here appearing virtually. 10 I'm just going to ask everyone to please mindful of your 11 background. I still consider video appearances as an 12 extension of appearance in the courtroom. So the line is 13 completely muted at this time. I'm going to take 14 appearances in the courtroom first and then I'm going to 15 open up the line. For the folks who wish to remain on the 16 line, I see Mr. Jordan, Mr. Chapple, and Brimmage. I'm 17 going to keep your line open. I just ask that you please 18 keep your phone on mute. But that way, you don't have to 19 keep hitting five star. So why don't I just begin by taking 20 appearances. And if you wouldn't mind just telling me in 21 which case you're making an appearance. Sometimes I guess 22 that could be both. Mr. Battaglia, I see you there. Why 23 don't you go first? Start the party. 24 MR. BATTAGLIA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Ray

25 Battaglia for Free Speech Systems. I'm appearing in both

- 1 cases. I have with me today Patrick McGill, the chief
- 2 restructuring officer for Free Speech Systems.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay. Good to see you, Mr. McGill.
- 4 Okay.
- 5 MR. RUFF: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Jayson Ruff
- 6 and Ha Nguyen from the U.S. Trustee's Office, appearing I
- 7 both cases.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
- 9 MR. MOSHENBERG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Avi
- 10 Moshenberg and Nick Lawson here on behalf of the Texas
- 11 plaintiffs in both cases. And we'll have Marty Brimmage and
- 12 David Zensky speak on our behalf today.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
- MR. LEMMON: Your Honor, Steve Lemmon on behalf of
- 15 PQPR. We are a party only in the FSS case.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
- 17 MR. SHANNON: Good afternoon, Your Honor, R.J.
- 18 Shannon on behalf of Shannon and Lee, LLP. I'm just in the
- 19 Free Speech Systems.
- THE COURT: Okay, good afternoon. Mr. Brimmage,
- 21 Mr. Zensky, if you can, just hit five star. I know that
- you're there. You'll be making an appearance. I can see
- you now. There are a number of people on the line and it's
- 24 just easier. Got you. All right. Mr. Brimmage, did I get
- 25 you?

- 1 MR. BRIMMAGE: You did, Your Honor. Good
- 2 afternoon, Marty Brimmage with Akin Gump Strauss Hauer &
- 3 Feld, here on behalf in the FSS case, on behalf of the Texas
- 4 and Connecticut plaintiffs. And I'm joined, today, Your
- 5 Honor, by my partners, Sara Brauner and David Zensky who
- 6 will both take speaking roles in this hearing today. Also,
- 7 Your Honor, I just want to give the Court a heads up that
- 8 last week, the formation of a committee was announced and
- 9 appointed by the U.S. Trustee in the Alex Jones bankruptcy
- 10 case before Your Honor, the Chapter 11. That is at Docket
- 11 42.
- 12 At Docket 56, just before the hearing today, we
- 13 filed a notice of appearance, Your Honor. Akin Gump has
- just earlier today been retained to represent that
- 15 committee. So we will be appearing in the Alex Jones
- 16 Chapter 11 case on behalf of the committee.
- 17 And if I could, Your Honor, when the Court has one
- 18 second, I would just like to announce who the committee
- 19 members are. We don't have to do it now, but I'm happy to
- 20 do it whenever the Court would like.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. I did see the notice. So I do
- 22 know who the committee members are. Let me go ahead and
- 23 continue taking appearances at this point. Mr. Zensky, did
- I unmute your line? I just want to make sure you've been
- 25 unmuted. Oh, I did not. I think I got you now though.

- 1 MR. ZENSKY: There we go. Can you hear me now,
- 2 Your Honor?
- 3 THE COURT: Just fine. Good afternoon, sir.
- 4 MR. ZENSKY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. David
- 5 Zensky, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld. And with me is my
- 6 colleague, Sara Brauner, appearing for the Sandy Hook
- families in both the FSS case and the Jones case today.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
- 9 MS. FREEMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
- 10 Elizabeth Freeman on behalf of Melissa Haselden, the
- 11 Subchapter V Trustee for FSS. We're appearing in the FSS
- 12 case.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. FREEMAN: Additionally, Your Honor, appearing
- remote on behalf of M3 is Brian Griffith.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. I see you there, Mr. Griffith.
- 17 Good afternoon. Ms. Haselden, Mr. Griffith, if any of you
- want to speak today, go ahead and hit five star and let me
- 19 just be able to recognize you and at least unmute your line.
- Ms. Driver, I see you there. Do you want to make
- 21 an appearance at this time? And if you hit five star, that
- 22 will -- I think I see you there. Did I get you, Ms. Driver?
- MS. DRIVER: Yes, I think so. Thank you, Your
- 24 Honor. Vicki Driver on behalf of Alex Jones, appearing in
- 25 the Alex Jones case, as well as appearing in the FSS case on

- 1 behalf of Mr. Jones. Also remote with me is Mr. Jordan.
- 2 And Mr. Jones is here and we are actually in the same
- 3 conference room, but I just have one camera set up. If
- 4 there is any issue or concern with that, please let me know.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay, no, thank you. And good
- 6 afternoon, Mr. Jones. Mr. Jordan, can you unmute your line.
- 7 Hit five star. Did I unmute already?
- MR. JORDAN: I hit five star, but I'm not sure.
- 9 THE COURT: I can hear you, so that's victory.
- 10 Anyone else wish to make an appearance? Go ahead and hit
- 11 five star at this time. Okay, there's a 713, last four
- 12 digits 2814.
- MR. CHAPPLE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is
- 14 Ryan Chapple. Can you hear me okay?
- 15 THE COURT: Just fine. Good afternoon, sir.
- 16 MR. CHAPPLE: And I'm appearing for the
- 17 Connecticut plaintiffs in both matters. Thank you, Your
- 18 Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. There is an 832 number I'm
- about to unmute.
- MR. RIDULFO: Your Honor, I believe that's me.
- 22 Good afternoon. It's Mike Ridulfo, for Schwartz Associates.
- THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. Anyone else
- 24 wish to make an appearance? You certainly can reserve your
- 25 opportunity to do so. Okay. It sounds like that's it for

- 1 now. For the folks who have unmuted, please keep your line
- on mute, but feel free to speak when appropriate
- 3 So Mr. Battaglia, why don't I start with you.
- 4 Maybe you and Ms. Driver can tell me where things stand and
- 5 where we're going. I appreciate everyone coming today.
- It sounds like a lot of things have gotten worked
- 7 out potentially, which is great. Mr. Jones, I appreciate
- 8 you participating today. It thought there were going to be
- 9 some contested issues today. I think it's important
- 10 sometimes to just have a client -- obviously, the client
- 11 here just in case someone needed to hear from you and get
- 12 your thoughts on stuff, but we'll see where things go. I
- don't have any particular questions for you. There were a
- 14 number of matters that were contested and I just thought it
- 15 made sense to just have, sometimes it's good to have the
- 16 client there.
- 17 Let me, Mr. Battaglia, turn to you.
- 18 MR. BATTAGLIA: Yes, Your Honor. Ray Battaglia
- 19 for Free Speech Systems. I'm pleased to report that
- 20 substantially everything on both cases is resolved and I'll
- 21 let Ms. Driver speak as to the Alex Jones matters. There
- 22 are some -- there is some dialog that I know needs to be had
- and I think the M3 application has some opposition to it.
- 24 But let me go through the Free Speech matters on the Court's
- 25 docket and then I'll let Ms. Driver address hers.

- 1 There is a continued cash collateral hearing.
- 2 This would be the seventh interim cash collateral. The
- 3 budget was circulated to the parties last week. The
- 4 proposed order was circulated Sunday. I have not received
- 5 any comments, save one, to the budget or the form of order.
- 6 There are some changes or tweaks in the order based on the
- 7 change in the way the debtor is operating. So, for example,
- 8 it used to provide that we would pay fulfillment costs to
- 9 Blue Ascension. We're not using Blue Ascension. So that
- 10 had to be modified.
- I also simplified it so I'm not referring to the
- 12 first interim, second interim, third interim. It's just
- 13 first and subsequent. But other than that, the order is
- 14 substantially in the same format as all of the preceding
- 15 interim orders. We still have not concluded payment to PQPR
- of a half million dollars. I expect that will happen during
- 17 this period. And when it does, we'll send the notice that's
- 18 required to be sent.
- There is a limited objection of Alex Jones to the
- 20 cash collateral motion. I'll let Ms. Driver address that
- 21 and we can talk about what needs to be done in that context
- 22 at that time.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. BRIMMAGE: There is an application authorizing
- 25 the employment of Texas, appellate counsel for the Texas

- 1 matter. That's the Martin Disiere firm and I'm sure I'm
- 2 mispronouncing the second name but there are no objections
- 3 to that. The Court has noted, and it's not been lost on me
- 4 or my client, as has the U.S. Trustee's office, that the 60-
- 5 40 split proposed on fees and there is not what the Court is
- 6 likely to approve. So with the caveat that Mr. Jones has
- 7 the right at some point to come back and ask for a
- 8 reallocation, we'll upload a new order authorizing their
- 9 employment on a 50-50 fee-splitting basis.
- 10 THE COURT: I think that's fair.
- 11 MR. BRIMMAGE: There is, at Docket 282, the
- 12 application to employ M3. That has opposition from PQPR.
- 13 I'll let Mr. Lemmon address that and Miss Freeman. There is
- 14 at Docket 289, the second emergency motion to extend the
- 15 time to file a plan of reorganization. And let me just make
- 16 this one comment. Obviously, we are proceeding in mediation
- 17 and we are proceeding. We had, I think both parties have
- 18 met at least telephonically or video with the judges
- 19 recently. And so there needs to be an exchange of
- 20 information before we really get going on that. But they're
- 21 interrelated obviously. The timing of a plan, and should we
- file something that's a template, that may be a distraction
- as opposed to an advantage. So we've asked for an extension
- through a date of your choice through February but,
- obviously, Your Honor can extend it to whatever time period

- 1 you think is appropriate.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay.
- 3 MR. BRIMMAGE: Then there is in the Free Speech
- 4 case, a motion filed by Alex Jones, an emergency motion to
- 5 modify the stay orders. I think that has been resolved.
- 6 But again, I'll let Miss Driver address that matter. It's
- 7 her motion when she addresses the matters pending in the
- 8 Alex Jones case. And I'm happy to proceed however you wish
- 9 to at this point.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- so actually, you know
- 11 what? It's probably best for me to hear from Ms. Driver and
- 12 kind of just set the table for what we're dealing with in
- 13 the Jones case. Miss Driver, I'm going to turn things over
- 14 to you and you can kind of tell me. And then I'm going to
- 15 turn to Mr. Brimmage and just hear from the committee in the
- Jones case, but just in terms of what's set for today and
- 17 what we have to kind of take up?
- 18 MS. DRIVER: Certainly, Your Honor. We have the
- 19 stay motions which sort of we're the opposite side of one
- 20 coin, filed by the plaintiffs in the Jones case and then
- 21 (indiscernible) case. We've come to a resolution that if it
- is the plaintiff's desire to spend the money on the
- 23 (indiscernible) counsel, that we are not going to stand in
- 24 the way of that. It was our hope at the beginning that we
- 25 did want to preserve those amounts, but if that is not the

- 1 wish of the plaintiffs, then it is what it is. And we will
- 2 just allow that to move forward.
- 3 So we have compromised with the plaintiffs. This
- 4 panel Ms. Brauner was very helpful in getting us terms of
- 5 the order so that we could agree that essentially as long as
- 6 our appellate counsel is approved by this Court and their
- 7 fee structures approved by this Court, that we could again
- 8 pay those professionals and it wouldn't be an argument over
- 9 paying our counsel. So I believe that there's an order
- 10 that's been uploaded to Your Honor that's been approved by
- 11 the parties.
- The only other issue with that is a caveat to Mr.
- Jones' salary from FSS and I'll get to that in a minute. So
- 14 that resolves both of those motions I believe and there's
- just a companion order for FFS that essentially mirrors that
- order that will resolve their motion in our case. And then
- once the order is entered, we will withdraw the motion in
- 18 the FSS case.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. What else are we taking? I
- 20 know there was a joint admin. Are you still going forward
- 21 with that, Ms. Driver?
- MS. DRIVER: Your Honor, we were trying to do that
- for administrative convenience. Unfortunately the U.S.
- 24 Trustee's office and Subchapter V Trustee did not agree with
- us that joint administration was the right thing to do here.

- 1 I think it's just a bit of an administrative non sequitur
- 2 related to having a Chapter 11 case and Subchapter V case
- 3 jointly administered. The good news is the first thing I
- 4 wanted was to end up in front of the same judge, which
- 5 happened automatically. And then the second thing is that
- 6 we could have joint hearings, which appears to be happening
- 7 automatically. And that we could jointly employ
- 8 professionals and have them file the applications jointly.
- 9 I think we could take care of this was just a really simple
- 10 limited order under an administration. But just as we will
- 11 have, you know, we will have joint hearings without any
- 12 special permission between the cases and that employment
- 13 applications can be filed in both cases. They don't --
- 14 while they need to deal with the conflict in both cases,
- don't necessarily need to be different. And then the app,
- of course, can be filed in both cases identically. And
- 17 then, of course, the fee sharing will be according to the
- deal between FSS and Mr. Jones.
- 19 THE COURT: So let me just tell you, Ms. Driver,
- 20 my thoughts on joint admin. It's such a routine motion. I
- 21 normally don't even, I normally just sign them without even
- 22 -- in this case, it is complicated because now, you know
- you've got a CRO and then you've got an individual. The
- 24 Sub-V 11 part doesn't really bother me that much. What is
- 25 going to get confusing is if kind of what happened today

- 1 where, you know, Mr. Jones files a limited objection in a
- 2 Free Speech case with a Free Speech heading, it's just going
- 3 to start to get a little bit unruly and you have the Texas
- 4 plaintiffs in one case who may also be a member of the
- 5 committee in another case. And it's just going to start to
- 6 get a little confusing. And I want to make sure that they
- 7 understand what their roles are in one case versus the
- 8 other. But I assure you, Miss Diver, I'm going to call
- 9 every case, every time I call a Free Speech case, I'm going
- 10 to jointly call the Jones case. And if there's a hearing
- 11 set in the Free Speech case or the Jones case, anybody can
- 12 self-calendar a motion on those dates. So they will
- 13 effectively be jointly administered. Whatever is most
- 14 efficient in terms of filing motions and as long as
- everyone's getting a fair and due process in each case. I
- 16 can assure you I'd like to keep these cases separate because
- 17 I think I want to make sure that the parties understand
- 18 their roles, their separate roles in these cases including
- 19 creditors, right, including the Texas plaintiffs. But I
- 20 also think it's going to get a little confusing if, for
- 21 example, Free Speech objects to a motion with their own
- 22 heading. And I think that's going to get a little
- 23 confusing.
- But effectively, I will always call these cases
- 25 together because they should be called together in my

- opinion. And maybe we'll have a one off every now and then,
- 2 but you will effectively have joint administration. Fee
- 3 applications will be taken up in the most efficient manner.
- 4 So you don't have to worry about any of those issues with
- 5 me. Whatever makes the most sense, as long as parties are
- 6 getting due process, you're not going to have a problem with
- 7 me on those things. So you can upload an order if you want
- 8 or you can just take my word on it. Whatever is the most,
- 9 whatever makes the most sense we'll take care of.
- I just want to make sure that everyone kind of
- 11 knows, you know, if Jones is going to file the schedules,
- then they can look to the schedules in that case and things
- of that nature. It just makes things easier. But you won't
- 14 have to worry about dates and calendaring and separate
- 15 hearings going on. I'm not doing that. So you'll
- 16 effectively have joint administration. Okay?
- 17 MS. DRIVER: Your Honor with that, I don't see any
- 18 reason for us to do anything other than just withdraw the
- 19 joint administration motion.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 21 MS. DRIVER: And I think that'll just resolve the
- 22 objections. We're just not here to try to spend political
- 23 capital to get into semantic arguments. We're just trying
- 24 to work with everyone as best we can.
- 25 THE COURT: I got it. And I think the way that

- 1 you all did it today like, for example, with the lift stay
- 2 motions I think, yeah it creates a little bit of extra
- 3 paperwork but it keeps things clean in a way that everyone
- 4 can understand and I'm fine with it. I have no issues with
- 5 that. I think you also have a schedules extension motion,
- 6 right?
- 7 MS. DRIVER: We do. I believe it was on negative
- 8 notice but we have come to an agreement with the U.S.
- 9 Trustee on the amount and we've received no other
- 10 opposition. So if Your Honor would like, we're just happy
- 11 to submit a certificate with no objection. It would just be
- 12 a 30-day extension. So it would be the 14 days we normally
- get under the code plus 30. So it would be a total of 45.
- 14 I think that puts us out just slightly past the 341 hearing.
- 15 THE COURT: Can you just -- why don't we just pick
- 16 a date so there's no confusion and no foot faults or any of
- 17 that stuff. Why don't we just pick a date? Where does that
- 18 roughly put you?
- MS. DRIVER: January 15th, Your Honor. And I'm
- just looking at that to make sure it's not a weekend.
- 21 THE COURT: Let's take a look.
- MS. DRIVER: Oh, it's a Monday. Oh, it's a
- 23 Sunday.
- THE COURT: It's a Sunday. And then the 16th is
- 25 MLK day. Why don't I just give you the 17th?

- 1 MS. DRIVER: That would be wonderful. Thank you,
- 2 Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: All right, January 17th, schedules
- 4 extension. What's the docket number on that? I'll just
- 5 enter that. This one, I'm going to -- I know everybody's
- 6 been talking and I appreciate it. I want -- it's important
- 7 to get accurate schedules and if the professionals are
- 8 telling me they need time to get this done, then it is what
- 9 it is. And if you need the time, it seems reasonable to me.
- 10 What's the docket number on that?
- 11 MS. DRIVER: Your Honor, I apologize. I don't
- 12 have the docket order right off.
- 13 THE COURT: No, it's okay. I just want to sign
- 14 the order right now. And I'll just, what I'll do on the
- order is I'll just say January 17th and that means January
- 16 17th. That way, you kind of know what it is.
- MS. DRIVER: That's perfect.
- 18 THE COURT: And that means 11:59. Right?
- 19 Whenever it gets signed.
- MS. DRIVER: I haven't told Mr. Jones we can file
- 21 up until midnight. So thank you, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Yeah. Mr. Brimmage, let me hear from
- you. And then I think it's probably appropriate for me to
- 24 hear from the committee. And you can tell me about the
- 25 committee members. That the one I'm glad to hear that

- 1 you're hired counsel. And I know that you're thinking about
- 2 these things. I'm just saying it so that it's said. And
- 3 I'm sure that you've already started thinking about all of
- 4 these things.
- 5 And I want to make sure that the committee members
- 6 kind of, right, they're kind of involved in two separate
- 7 cases and individual capacities. And then also probably
- 8 mediating and that's a lot. And I want to make sure that
- 9 they just hear it from me and all the I'm sure the advice
- 10 that you're telling them about fiduciary duties and all of
- 11 that, they're incredibly important. And I just want to make
- 12 -- I know that you're talking to them. I've got you and Mr.
- 13 Chapple. They don't need to hear the speech from me. I'm
- 14 saying it so that it's on the record. They're going to be
- wearing multiple hats and it's really important that they
- 16 listen to the advice of counsel and listen to you and Mr.
- 17 Chapple as you advise them on their particular roles because
- it's really important that they kind of understand the way
- 19 it is. Sometimes there's overlap. Sometimes there's not.
- 20 So no one needed me to say that. I'm just saying it so that
- 21 it's on the record.
- 22 So Mr. Brimmage with that, I'll -- and Mr. Zensky,
- 23 I'll turn it over to you. If you have anything on behalf of
- 24 the committee. I just appreciate that they, that they've
- 25 got good counsel.

1 MR. BRIMMAGE: Absolutely, Your Honor. And I'll say a couple things and then I'll let Miss Brauner and Mr. 2 3 Zensky chime in. So you're absolutely right. There's a lot 4 going on in these two cases all at the same time. And just 5 to level set, Your Honor, you have the Texas group of 6 plaintiffs and you have the Connecticut group of plaintiffs 7 who both have counsel and have appeared in the FSS case. 8 Just as a reminder, Mr. Chapple represents the Connecticut 9 plaintiffs and Mr. Moshenberg and Mr. Martin represent the 10 Texas plaintiffs. And so you do have that going on. And just to also level set, Your Honor, the committee is 11 12 comprised of only members from the Texas and the Connecticut 13 plaintiffs group. Just to let the Court know, there's 14 Robert Parker from the Connecticut plaintiffs group, Nicole 15 Hockley from the Connecticut plaintiffs group, Jennifer Hensel from the Connecticut plaintiffs group, David Wheeler 16 17 from the Connecticut plaintiffs group, Leonard Pozner from 18 the Texas plaintiffs group and Scarlett Lewis from the Texas 19 plaintiffs group. 20 And so we understand what you said, Your Honor. 21 We actually completely agree there's a lot of things going. 22 There are fiduciary duties on behalf of the committee that 23 must be adhered to and we will be working with not only the 24 committee members, but also their separate counsel in the 25 cases to make sure that we're all doing the things that

- 1 we're supposed to do. And, of course, if we have any
- 2 questions about it or need any guidance, Your Honor, we'll
- 3 come to you and lay that out. But we appreciate you letting
- 4 us know and reminding us because we do take those
- 5 obligations very seriously.
- 6 Let me just say one other thing about -- go ahead
- 7 Your Honor, if you had anything to say.
- 8 THE COURT: No, you don't need me to remind you.
- 9 I'm just saying that so it's on the record. Not that anyone
- 10 needed to hear from the judge on that point, but just, I
- 11 just think it's important that it's said from the Court that
- these things were on my mind and I was thinking about the
- 13 multiple hats that everybody has. And that's, it can get
- 14 confusing and I just wanted to make sure that everybody was
- 15 listening to their counsel and individual counsels. That's
- 16 it. Go ahead.
- 17 MR. BRIMMAGE: Thank you, Your Honor. One
- 18 suggestion before I turn it over to Mr. Zensky and Miss
- 19 Brauner. We're totally fine in how the Court wants to
- 20 handle the joint hearings and joint notices and all that
- 21 good stuff. What might be helpful going forward to all of
- us, and maybe this is just a me thing, but if Mr. Battaglia
- on behalf of FSS, Miss Driver, on behalf of the Jones
- 24 bankruptcy proceeding, get together and file an agenda
- 25 before for the hearing so we can all be kept straight on

- 1 what's happening in which estate and what's going on.
- 2 That's not a complaint, it's just a suggestion because like
- 3 the representation issues, there's just a whole lot going on
- 4 all at the same time. And I think that might just help us
- 5 keep all on the same page. Just a suggestion, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: No, what I was thinking too, Mr.
- 7 Brimmage, is I think what may -- look, I think an agenda is
- 8 going to be necessary if we're going to call them together
- 9 and it may make sense. And I'm, you know, someone just
- 10 reaching out to my case manager and if, you know, things are
- 11 coming up, just got a couple of dates, right? What you know
- may be important and we can, you know, we can have omnibus
- date and then I'll pick it. I'm happy to do it. Whatever
- 14 is the most efficient there, Mr. Battaglia. You all can get
- 15 together and you can pick, you know, if something's coming
- 16 up and you need a hearing on something, just pick a -- just
- 17 get my case manager and you can both self-calendar and there
- 18 can be an agenda filed, a joint agenda for that stuff.
- 19 Yeah, essentially, that's the way it would operate if it was
- 20 jointly administered. So let's just, since we're kind of de
- 21 facto doing that, let's just continue doing that. So it
- 22 makes sense to me.
- MR. BRIMMAGE: And with that, Your Honor, given
- 24 that Miss Brauner and Mr. Zensky had dealt with all the
- other issues that the Court has heard about today, let me

- 1 turn it over to them for their thoughts.
- THE COURT: Mr. Zensky.
- 3 MR. ZENSKY: Thank you. Yeah, good afternoon,
- 4 Your Honor. David Zensky. Can you hear me?
- 5 THE COURT: Just fine. Good afternoon.
- 6 MR. ZENSKY: Great, good afternoon, Your Honor.
- 7 As you, as you've heard and discussed with Miss Driver and
- 8 Mr. Battaglia, I think that all of the issues that the
- 9 family's had before today's hearing have been worked out.
- 10 As you know, we filed a motion, an emergency motion in the
- Jones case to modify the stay, which was the flip side of
- 12 the coin of Mr. Jones motion in the FSS case as Miss Driver
- 13 described it. Those have been resolved and you have before
- 14 you two proposed orders on consent. In the Jones case, it's
- Docket 55, and in the FSS case, it's Docket 329. And
- 16 they're basically mirror images of each other. There are
- 17 little tweaks in the FSS order because there had previously
- 18 been a form of relief in the Connecticut cases that was a
- 19 little different from the Texas cases. So that's addressed
- and that's not superseded by this order, this proposed
- 21 order. In any event, subject to Your Honor having any
- questions, those issues have all been worked out.
- Mr. Battaglia referred to his motion at Docket 299
- 24 to extend the deadline for the filing of a plan. The
- 25 families have not filed an objection to that and Mr.

- 1 Battaglia has agreed that whatever extension the Court may
- 2 grant for the plan, for the filing of a plan, it will also
- 3 apply to the deadline for filing challenges to
- 4 dischargeability in the FSS case. So right now, I think
- 5 those dates were staggered by about three weeks. The
- 6 current deadline for non-dischargeability actions is January
- 7 5th. So we would ask the Court at the same time as
- 8 determining what to do on the plan deadline, treat that
- 9 deadline as the same and extend it the same amount of time.
- 10 And of course, that's with the reservation of rights of all
- 11 parties as to legal and factual issues regarding those
- 12 motions.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay, let me just see if we can kind
- of clear the deck a little bit. Is there any, as I
- understand those motions, one is essentially agreeing to
- 16 lift the stay in each of those cases or to confirm that the
- 17 stay is lifted in one and then agreeing to lift the stay in
- 18 the other to allow final judgment to be entered in the
- 19 Connecticut cases and subject to -- I'd also have to approve
- 20 then appellate counsel at that time. I want to make sure
- 21 it's clear in my mind that that's the part, that that's the
- deal because I want to make sure that whatever I sign, when
- a court in Connecticut looks at what I've done, that we all
- 24 have agreed what it is that I signed and what the party's
- 25 expectations are.

```
1
               MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor, Ray Battaglia for Free
 2
     Speech Systems. And Miss Driver can elaborate further, but
 3
     generally speaking, obviously we need to retain appellate
 4
     counsel. We're doing that in Texas with the matter before
 5
     the court today. And I probably need a new order for you
 6
     with the 50-50 split. And I'll do that. On Connecticut
 7
     counsel, Norm Pattis, who has represented the debtor, both
 8
     debtors in the litigation is the almost certain candidate to
 9
     be the appellate counsel. I will need a new application to
10
     expand the scope and the terms of his employment. And
     essentially the orders that are before you today say there's
11
12
     no objection to the joint representation by appellate
13
     counsel of FSS and Alex Jones. And it has some additional
14
     language about their fee applications and how that process
15
     goes forward. But generally speaking, that's correct.
16
     We're saying the cases can go forward to final judgment,
17
     which means also post-trial motions and appellate
18
     proceedings, and that the parties, the debtors will retain
19
     their counsel and the plaintiffs won't object to retention
20
     of joint counsel and some standards, in essence, regarding
21
     compensation. That's my appreciation of that.
22
               THE COURT: Okay, so let me, since you're standing
23
     up there Mr. Battaglia, I'm going to give you on your
24
     extension of the time to file, I want to hear thoughts on
25
     two things. I want to hear if anyone has any comments on me
```

- 1 just signing the orders. It sounds like there's an agreed
- order in both cases. There's consent. I've got no issues
- 3 with those proposed orders as filed. I'm willing to sign
- 4 them right now and get those on the docket. Subject to your
- 5 confirmation and your understanding on that, I can sign both
- of those orders right now. And I'm, I think February 17th,
- 7 Friday, February 17th, on the extension of the time to file
- 8 the plan and extension of the time to file an objection to
- 9 dischargeability.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: If Ms. Brauner will send me a
- 11 paragraph to add to the order, I'll upload a revised order
- 12 with February 17th as the date. Obviously, we're reserving
- 13 the right to come back
- 14 THE COURT: I can, I can add that in. I don't
- 15 mind doing that.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: I hate, I don't like making you my
- 17 secretary, Judge. That's not my intent.
- 18 THE COURT: No, I mean it's just easier if I can,
- 19 if the folks want, I can just copy it. You know we can just
- use the same language that we did before and keep it.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: I think we did a separate
- 22 stipulation with Mr. Chapple on the extension of the
- 23 dischargeability.
- 24 THE COURT: Oh. I'd like to, well then why don't
- 25 you upload that?

- 1 MR. BATTAGLIA: I'll do that, Judge. And I'll
- 2 send it to Ms. Brauner and she can --
- 3 THE COURT: But that's my understanding. By
- 4 signing this order today, Mr. Zensky, whatever the deal was
- 5 last time an extension, Miss Brauner, the extension of
- 6 dischargeability, it's the same deal. It'll run the same
- 7 way to February 17th and I want to make sure that February
- 8 17th is actually a real date. I'm going to make sure. Yes,
- 9 February 17 is a Friday. Okay, Does that work for you?
- 10 Miss Brauner, Mr. Zensky, does that work for you?
- 11 MR. ZENSKY: Your Honor, David Zensky. Just to be
- 12 clear, February 17th is the new date, the new plan deadline
- and then the dischargeability will be like three weeks after
- 14 that.
- 15 THE COURT: That's correct. Whatever the deal
- 16 was.
- 17 MR. ZENSKY: The same deal once again.
- THE COURT: That's exactly right.
- MR. ZENSKY: That's great, Your Honor, thank you.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to sign
- 21 these orders lifting the stay right now so that then tells
- 22 me --
- MS. DRIVER: So --
- THE COURT: Go ahead. Unless somebody tells me
- 25 otherwise. Go ahead, Miss Driver.

- 1 MS. DRIVER: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I think it's
- 2 clear in the order that the only thing that we're really not
- 3 allowing to forward at this point is collection. Obviously,
- 4 that will (indiscernible).
- 5 THE COURT: I got that part.
- 6 MS. DRIVER: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate
- 7 that.
- 8 MR. ZENSKY: Your Honor, just to be clear in your
- 9 comments, I think you referenced just the Connecticut
- 10 plaintiffs but the orders before you apply to the Texas
- 11 plaintiffs whose cases have gone to verdict and are ready
- for judgment and whatever follows. So the orders apply to
- 13 both.
- 14 THE COURT: That's right. Because that's the
- definition of the Sandy Hook post trial families, right?
- MR. ZENSKY: Right. Exactly Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: That's exactly right. No, no, I
- 18 appreciate the clarification on the record. That's
- 19 important. Thank you.
- MR. ZENSKY: You're welcome.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay, I'm signing that order right now
- 22 and let me sign. I'm sorry, I'll make sure I got this
- 23 right. I just signed the lift stay order in the Free Speech
- 24 case and in the Jones case, so we should be -- now, and I'm
- 25 going to sign an order extending -- does anyone have any

- 1 objection to the extension of the time through February
- 2 17th? Any objection to that? And I am going to sign that
- 3 order. Folks, give me a second. I just want to clear the
- 4 deck because I'm going to enter these orders right now as we
- 5 speak. We'll send them off to docketing so that we can at
- 6 least clear the deck and figure out what's really left.
- Okay, I appreciate everyone's patience here.
- 8 Okay, I have now signed that order. So that -- let me just
- 9 take up the Texas appellate counsel. Is there any objection
- 10 to the Texas appellate counsel?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: None were received other than the
- 12 verbal concerns about the split on the allocation of fees.
- THE COURT: Subject to a 50/50 split, which I'll
- 14 upload, that's approved. Okay.
- MS. DRIVER: Your Honor, I'm bootstrapping a
- 16 little here so I apologize.
- 17 THE COURT: Go for it.
- MS. DRIVER: Is it possible for me to just file
- 19 the same application to be employed in Mr. Jones's case? I
- 20 think we have maybe three additional creditors, making sure
- 21 those are served by mail if not bought via UCF, could we
- just have a negative time period on that so we can get that
- 23 running?
- 24 THE COURT: Yeah, I think that makes sense.
- MS. DRIVER: Okay. And I think that, you know,

- 1 most of the claimants are truly represented in this matter,
- 2 in both matters. So we'll make sure that any additional
- 3 creditors that we have on his side get that notice.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. That sounds perfect. So now
- 5 we're getting closer I think to actual contested issues.
- 6 All right, sounds like according to judge notes, don't trust
- 7 them, I think in the Free Speech case, we're left with M3
- 8 and cash collateral.
- 9 MR. BATTAGLIA: That's correct, Your Honor. Cash
- 10 collateral, as I said, the only comment is Alex Jones'
- 11 limited objection regarding his salary and I'll let Ms.
- 12 Driver speak to that.
- THE COURT: What's your position on that?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Well, Your Honor, it is clear to
- 15 Mr. McGill and to me as debtor's counsel that in the absence
- of Mr. Jones being on the air broadcasting and promoting
- 17 products that the FSS case is not tenable. And so he is
- 18 he's a vital coq. Compensation for people in his position
- is considerably more than what we're paying. We're paying
- 20 \$20,000 per pay period. There's an advisory about some
- 21 additional value that's basically -- that I submitted the
- last hearing. But his salary under his employment contract
- 23 prepetition was set at a million three. And so we're not in
- 24 a position to pay a million three as it stands right now.
- 25 What's he worth to the debtor? He's worth a lot and he's

- worth more than he's getting paid.
- From Mr. McGill's perspective, it's a question of
- 3 what are we currently able to pay? The budget that has been
- 4 submitted to you in the cash collateral order shows a pretty
- 5 flat line period of time during this 30 days. So our cash
- 6 is not inconsequential but it's not growing and it's not
- 7 likely to grow during this period. We have some product
- 8 purchases we have to do that won't turn into revenue until
- 9 an out period. So it's, you know, with concerns about
- 10 administrative insolvency of the kinds of things that are
- liable to come before you in terms of professionals being
- 12 employed, we think he's worth more than that. Whether we
- can pay it and what that number is, is a question I leave up
- 14 to the Court and the parties. It was set where it was set
- 15 because --
- THE COURT: Leave it up to me, huh?
- 17 MR. BATTAGLIA: It is. All things are, Judge. At
- 18 the end of the day, it was set where it was set based on an
- 19 objection to the original cash collateral order. It was set
- 20 at 10,000 a pay period. It was increased subsequently to
- 21 20,000 pay period. That's where we stand right now.
- Obviously, now that he's in bankruptcy, in particular, he
- has certain expenses that he's going to be obligated to
- 24 meet, that his only, his most significant source of revenue
- 25 -- and I won't speak for his only source -- will be whatever

- 1 compensation he received from Free Speech Systems.
- THE COURT: Okay, let me ask, does anyone have any
- 3 thoughts on or any comments with respect to cash collateral?
- 4 Ms. Driver, I'll turn to you last because I know -- let me
- 5 just kind of hear from everyone else first.
- 6 MS. DRIVER: Absolutely, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 7 MR. BRIMMAGE: Your Honor, Marty Brimmage.
- 8 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- 9 MR. BRIMMAGE: Whenever it's time, you let me
- 10 know.
- 11 THE COURT: Now's a good time.
- MR. BRIMMAGE: Okay. Your Honor, Marty Brimmage,
- 13 again. A couple of thoughts, Your Honor. We are definitely
- in no position right now to agree to increase salary or
- 15 compensation for Mr. Jones as part of the cash collateral
- order or anything else at this point. This issue just very
- 17 recently came up. We talked to Miss Driver about it and
- 18 we've let her know that we do not support that. In fact, we
- 19 object to that at this point in time. We have said that we
- 20 will work with her in the coming weeks to see if there's
- 21 something that we can work out in due course, but we're not
- there yet. And so we don't have authority to agree to it.
- 23 And we don't think it's the appropriate decision at this
- 24 point in time given where we are in the case and just -- the
- committee has just now been formed and what else is going

- on? But again, Your Honor, in good faith we're happy to
- 2 work with Miss Driver and Mr. Battaglia and work through
- 3 those issues. And I think we told her that this morning, so
- 4 this doesn't come as a surprise to her. But we believe,
- 5 Your Honor, at this point there should be no changes to the
- 6 budget. I think what you just heard from Mr. Battaglia is
- 7 the money is not there. So it seems like in addition to us
- 8 not being in agreement at this point in time, I mean money
- 9 just doesn't grow on trees. So at this point in time, we
- 10 would respectfully request one of two things: either the
- 11 Court deny the cash collateral request as it relates to
- 12 increasing salary for Mr. Jones at this point in time or
- 13 that Miss Driver just withdraw it for now let's revisit it
- 14 in the coming weeks.
- THE COURT: Anyone else? Mr. Battaglia.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor, I do just want to be
- 17 clear that I think the November MOR shows the cash balance
- 18 of a million eight. And obviously this is a very fluid
- 19 number in terms of when there are purchases of inventory
- 20 that have to be made and when cash comes in. So I don't
- 21 want to suggest we're on our last nickel, but we are headed
- into as many retail operation is, a quarter that will likely
- 23 be softer. And we have changed the process that we're
- 24 working under in terms of a fulfillment group that's new.
- 25 And so our experience in really gauging what that's going to

- 1 mean, we think we have cut expenses significantly by doing
- 2 that and by changing the credit card processing. But what
- 3 that means to the bottom line is kind of we don't know until
- 4 we see it in this first period. So I wanted to clarify
- 5 that.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. Let me --
- 7 MR. BRIMMAGE: Your Honor, one other point that I
- 8 forgot to mention and I apologize. The contract, the
- 9 contract on which Miss Driver I think is basing this, has
- 10 not been assumed or even been moved to be assumed and that
- is a process that we all are familiar with that we can
- 12 undergo and see where that all plays out. But that we think
- from our standpoint, that is the process that should be
- 14 undertaken if that is the amount of money that they want Mr.
- Jones to make pursuant to that employment agreement.
- 16 THE COURT: Anyone else wish to be heard before I
- 17 turn -- let me hear from --
- 18 MS. FREEMAN: Just very briefly, Your Honor. From
- 19 the Subchapter V Trustee's viewpoint, it's an issue of
- 20 process. And whatever the correct answer is, we believe is
- 21 something that should be determined after a motion is filed
- 22 and all parties have an opportunity to participate.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Nguyen.
- MR. NGUYEN: Thank you, Your Honor. I will be
- 25 brief. The U.S. Trustee, we do oppose the salary increase.

- 1 This is the seventh interim cash collateral order. We think
- 2 it's important to maintain the status quo given the posture
- 3 of the case with parties in the middle of mediation. And
- 4 the contract that Miss Driver alluded to, it is a contract
- 5 that was signed back in April between Melinda Flores and Mr.
- 6 Jones. I have serious concerns about who negotiated that
- 7 contract. And just the last line for everyone, we are in a
- 8 Subchapter V case. You have debtors that come before you
- 9 that don't nearly get about \$40,000 every month. You know,
- 10 debtors, debtors come to you and they file bankruptcy.
- 11 Everyone needs to tighten their financial belt and they need
- 12 to make things work. If Mr. Jones wants to bring this case
- 13 through bankruptcy, he's going to have to work with what has
- 14 been negotiated. This is, again, it's the seventh interim
- 15 cash collateral. It's been like this since the beginning of
- 16 the case. So I don't see any reason to change it now given
- 17 that the parties are in mediation. And for those reasons,
- 18 we oppose the increase in salary.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. I will say, though, you're
- 20 right, Mr. Nguyen, that there aren't many debtors who appear
- 21 that can generate the amount of revenue that Mr. Jones does,
- 22 right? And that's the lifeblood of this company. So it's
- 23 not the same. Revenue is generated through the sale of
- 24 product and, you know, he's the guy that can sell it and so
- 25 it's not the same. I'm not saying you're wrong. I just got

- 1 to balance that with the reality of where we are and you
- 2 know, yeah that's all. Just a thought. It's true.
- 3 Subchapter V and it's all that, but it's a unique situation
- 4 here. So, Miss Driver, here's -- let me hear from you.
- 5 MS. DRIVER: Yeah, let me offer -- well, first of
- 6 all, I want to just talk about factors in seven cash
- 7 collateral orders. Mr. Jones told me, as his counsel, that
- 8 he did agree to a smaller salary at the very beginning and
- 9 it was increased slightly, but it was never to the -- he
- 10 never thought it was prejudicial to him seeking his full
- 11 salary. So I hope the passage of time is not an issue here.
- 12 THE COURT: That's not an issue.
- MS. DRIVER: This is the first time that -- yeah,
- 14 this is the first time the plaintiffs have seen his
- 15 employment contract and so I definitely understand the idea
- 16 that we would do it forever. And without Mr. Jones, I think
- it is pretty clear that Free Speech Systems would be
- administratively insolvent and go to Chapter 7. And right
- 19 now Mr. Jones can and may be forced to take third-party
- 20 employment if he cannot make what he needs to make to
- 21 survive. And quite frankly, the same creditors that are
- 22 objecting to this really need us to maintain the assets and
- 23 the value of the homes, et cetera, so the backup value
- doesn't decrease.
- That said we can't put a budget in front of Your

- 1 Honor yet. We're just not there. Mr. Schleizer and his
- 2 team of BlackBriar are digging and we're trying to get that
- 3 together. But the good news is that any money that's coming
- 4 in now is going to be fully transparent as to how it is
- 5 spent and what it is spent for. And we obviously are going
- 6 to be, you know, sharing that information and bank accounts
- 7 with everyone that really needs them.
- 8 But what I might suggest in this situation is
- 9 during the high months, he was making substantially less
- 10 because he had been asked to take a step down. He
- 11 personally put \$900,000 on the table into the Free Speech
- 12 System since the petition date for the purposes of paying
- for shipping and some other things that the debtor couldn't
- 14 pay for it to keep the FSS debtor alive.
- 15 So there has been a much larger influx than deflux
- of money from FSS to Mr. Jones. However, I think having a
- 17 full hearing and looking at whether FSS wants to keep or
- 18 reject his contract is fair. What I would request is that
- 19 he get his full salary for the month of December or January
- 20 only. And then it is without any prejudice to the budget
- 21 going right back to where it was and we can have that full
- 22 and final hearing at the next hearing.
- THE COURT: The issue I think you're going to run
- 24 into, Miss Driver, with that, is that -- I don't have a
- 25 contract in front of me and we don't have any evidence. And

- 1 unless that's going to get taken up today, then I don't know
- 2 how I can approve a salary based on a contract that won't be
- 3 admitted into evidence.
- 4 MS. DRIVER: Your Honor, I do think that I did
- 5 designate it as an exhibit and I'm happy to offer it into
- 6 evidence, if you'd like.
- 7 THE COURT: It's not filed on the docket.
- MS. DRIVER: Oh, I did serve it out on the
- 9 parties, Your Honor. I did not put it on the docket. Most
- of things that are put on the docket here just published in
- 11 the press. So I did just send it out on notice.
- 12 THE COURT: I got it.
- MS. DRIVER: Understood, Your Honor. I'm happy to
- 14 carry this, but I just didn't want another day to go by
- 15 without people understanding that, you know, he's got bills
- 16 to pay. And some of these plaintiffs have claims, certainly
- 17 several against both entities, and so when you pull away
- from one, you are by definition pulling against the other.
- 19 And so by keeping money in FSS and not having Alex make his
- 20 salary, then it is necessarily going to beg the question is,
- 21 can he continue financially to work for FSS?
- THE COURT: And here's a consideration, Miss
- 23 Driver. As I look at the proposed budget over the next
- 24 couple of weeks, it shows cash negative. I understand that
- 25 there's a, there's a cash balance, but it goes negative for

- 1 a couple of weeks. And I'm concerned about that. You know
- does it make sense to kind of hold something, let's just say
- 3 in 30 days and take this up, take up a number? I do
- 4 understand and I was here obviously and I agree with you
- 5 when the -- when I signed in the original -- or I should say
- 6 when I signed in this case the cash collateral budget, it
- 7 was certainly without prejudice to Mr. Jones coming in and
- 8 saying he wanted more, right? That was just an agreement to
- 9 allow the process to continue. And I signed that order.
- 10 And I do think, you know, he's filed a case. He's here.
- 11 You know I think a committee just got formed. And I think
- 12 normally what would happen is, you know, debtor's counsel
- 13 would speak to the committee. And you know maybe there is a
- 14 number, but you know remember we're still talking FSS.
- 15 Which again, which is what confuses things, I think there's
- 16 a number. Maybe it's 50, maybe it's something less than
- 17 that. I don't know. But maybe there's a number, but maybe
- 18 we can take it up at the beginning early and maybe we don't
- 19 have to wait. Maybe we can just take that up early in the
- 20 beginning of the new year and come up with the number. I
- 21 am, I am open to increasing that number.
- There's a bankruptcy case. There's professionals
- involved. He's got to file schedules and he's got to get
- 24 the schedules right. And mediation, there's a lot going on.
- 25 And so I'm open to that. And I'm also recognizing the fact

- 1 that I know primarily who's responsible for generating the
- 2 revenue for FSS. And that's not lost upon me either. So
- 3 there's a number. If we need to have an evidentiary
- 4 hearing, then let's have it. Let's have it early next year,
- 5 in a couple of weeks and, you know, I'm willing to take that
- 6 up. But I'm asking the parties to really kind of talk about
- 7 this because we'll have a hearing if we need to. But I'm
- 8 confident that professionals who are thinking about this
- 9 recognize that there's something there to agree upon. We'll
- 10 just see, but the case is new. But I got it. FSS needs use
- of cash collateral, so Ms. Driver, I'm not technically
- overruling, I'm just saying let's kick it to a date where it
- 13 makes sense. And I think you can hear me saying that I am
- 14 open to an increase.
- MS. DRIVER: Thank you, we appreciate that. We're
- 16 having to kick it to the next cash collateral hearing. And
- 17 Mr. Jones just wanted everyone to know that he's just, he's
- 18 just out of money and so we really are kind of down to the
- 19 brass tax at this point.
- THE COURT: Right. I don't, I don't want to go
- 21 down that road because I know then that invites people to
- then come back and say other stuff. And let's just continue
- 23 to another hearing and then allow the -- let the process
- 24 play out, tee everything up. I think there is a way, Ms.
- 25 Driver, if you wanted to file something without or maybe

- 1 just making sure that, you know, something got admitted into
- 2 the record. I got it. Let's just see if this can get
- 3 resolved. Mr. Battaglia.
- 4 MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor, if there is some
- 5 resolution on an adjustment to his salary between now and
- 6 whenever, because this cash collateral will require another
- 7 hearing at the end of January, but if sometime prior to that
- 8 there is, may I just file a notice of amended budget?
- 9 THE COURT: That would make me really happy.
- 10 MR. BATTAGLIA: Thank you.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. So I'll sign the cash
- 12 collateral budget and then we'll take up everything at an
- 13 appropriate time.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Do you have date, Your Honor, you
- want to reset that at?
- 16 THE COURT: Yeah, I knew you were going to ask me
- 17 that.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: I'm funny that way.
- THE COURT: When does the budget expire?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: January 20th, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: We can do the 20th. That Thursday
- looks good. Or we can do January 18th in the afternoon.
- 23 It's the day after MLK day so the courts will be closed on
- 24 Monday, but obviously we'll be open on Tuesday. So that's
- 25 why I'm thinking it may make sense.

```
1 MR. BATTAGLIA: The 18th, Your Honor?
```

- THE COURT: The 18th or the 20th, what's best?
- 3 MR. BATTAGLIA: Either one.
- THE COURT: Miss Driver, what's best for you?
- 5 MS. DRIVER: Either one is fine.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Let's do the 20th since
- 7 that's the day, keep the pressure on the parties.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: What time, Your Honor?
- 9 THE COURT: Why don't we do 10 a.m. for cash
- 10 collateral.
- MS. DRIVER: And Your Honor, is there time is
- 12 their time on that day if I wanted to schedule a related
- 13 motion regarding the contract extension or rejection, et
- 14 cetera?
- THE COURT: That's where we're going, yeah.
- MS. DRIVER: Thank you.
- 17 THE COURT: And so that's going to kind of kick in
- 18 the agenda. You know at some point if other people want to
- 19 follow motions on those days, they can certainly do it.
- 20 Wait, I'm looking at 2022. That's what my staff is, that's
- 21 why they're turning around and they're looking at me that
- 22 I'm making updates. They just don't want to tell the judge
- 23 publicly he's wrong. It's okay.
- How about the 19th? You said the cash runs out on
- 25 the --

- 1 MR. BATTAGLIA: The 20th.
- THE COURT: That's a Friday, Friday the 20th. Can
- 3 we keep the same day in time?
- 4 MR. BATTAGLIA: I think the parties have worked
- 5 well together.
- THE COURT: Oh, there's a 10:00 already. So 11
- 7 a.m.? It's okay, you can tell me publicly. It's okay.
- 8 They were shuffling in front of me here like how do I
- 9 communicate to him? I'll put January 20th at 11 a.m., 2023.
- MS. DRIVER: Okay.
- 11 THE COURT: I got it right already. I think that
- 12 leaves us with M3.
- 13 MR. BATTAGLIA: The trustee's application to
- employ M3, which has an objection filed by PQPR.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay.
- 16 MR. BATTAGLIA: The debtor obviously just has
- 17 concerns about administrative solvency in this case and the
- 18 flow of expenses. That's the trustee -- that's the CRO's
- 19 position in this case. We don't, we obviously came before
- you and suggested that someone needs to do the evaluation
- investigation and it shouldn't be us because we're
- "tainted." And so we're happy to have it be done. We
- 23 understand the need for a financial advisor. They selected
- someone who's clearly capable. Nobody has any issue with
- 25 that. They're not the cheapest shop. Well they're not in

- 1 town either, I'll leave it up to the Court on dealing with
- 2 Mr. Lemmon's objection and the trustee's. But that's our
- 3 position, Your Honor. They need somebody. They're capable.
- 4 They're qualified. We're just concerned about cost.
- 5 THE COURT: Got it. Okay. Let me, Ms. Freeman,
- 6 let me just hear from you just briefly about kind of what
- 7 you think the outstanding issues are.
- MS. FREEMAN: Well I would ask Mr. Lemmon to
- 9 confirm, but I believe after the revised order was filed on
- 10 the docket at 330, that the sole remaining issue is a
- 11 question of whether or not there should be some sort of a
- cap or budget on the fees that M3 is seeking.
- MR. LEMMON: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Okay. What's your
- position on that, Miss Freeman?
- 16 MS. FREEMAN: Judge, it would be incorrect to say
- 17 that the trustee is not concerned about the economics in
- 18 this case. I think she is, as much, if not more aware and
- 19 concerned about the economics of the FSS case and also the
- 20 Alex Jones case, which is tied directly to this. The issue
- 21 that we have in agreeing to some sort of a cap is in part
- 22 policy and optics. Miss Hazelden is a fiduciary and she has
- been charged by the code and by this Court with undertaking
- 24 an investigation. That investigation includes an
- 25 investigation of the POPR debt, which is an insider of the

- 1 debtor.
- 2 She's not a commercial actor who has the ability
- 3 to cut a commercial deal. Instead, she's a fiduciary that
- 4 is undertaking this investigation that all parties will be
- 5 looking at and relying on. It is not appropriate for her
- 6 financial advisor to be the sole professional in this case
- 7 that is subject to some sort of a cap. It could potentially
- 8 be chilling to their endeavors. It could throw the results
- 9 of the investigation into question. PQPR is a subject of
- 10 that investigation. The optics are just not good for there
- 11 to be a cap placed at the front end at the time of their
- 12 engagement.
- All professionals who appear in cases are trusted
- 14 to be responsible with their expenditures in cases. And if
- 15 there is somehow an abuse or an imbalance of that trust,
- 16 that is something that shakes out when compensation is
- 17 sought.
- 18 THE COURT: Miss Freeman, let me just ask you a
- 19 question since I got you here. What can you tell me about
- 20 the status of kind of where things stand with the
- 21 investigation?
- MS. FREEMAN: We've looked -- we being the trustee
- 23 and her counsel -- have looked at a large number of things.
- 24 We have a lot of pieces of data. There is still a lot of
- 25 information that is financial in nature that has not yet

- 1 been produced or actually was produced just now during this
- 2 hearing. M3 has looked at a lot of pieces of a lot of
- 3 things but has not yet undertaken a tremendous amount of
- 4 work just because of large gaps in the data that exist and
- 5 because we don't yet have all of the supporting data or the
- 6 raw data that is behind the summaries that it has been
- 7 provided.
- 8 So it has some work to do and I don't want M3 to
- 9 find themselves in a position where they feel like they did
- 10 not have available resources to undertake the endeavor.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lemmon, what can you tell
- 12 me?
- MR. LEMMON: Thank you, Your Honor, Steve Lemmon
- 14 for PQPR. The Court may require -- may recall that in fact
- it was us that proposed that the Sub V trustee conduct the
- 16 investigation. We're in favor of the investigation. We're
- 17 in favor of the Sub V trustee retaining whatever advisors
- 18 they think they need in order to conduct the investigation.
- 19 At the outset, we proposed that up to \$100,000 to be spent
- 20 on this. The Court will recall that it said that it wanted
- 21 a full investigation and asked us to cooperate. And we
- 22 have. We agree and we want them to do a full investigation.
- 23 Since that time, it's become obvious that there is
- some question as to whether or not this case is going to be
- 25 administratively insolvent and I'm not sure that all parties

- 1 were aware of that at the time. If the Court were to look 2 at the most recent operating reports, which were filed late 3 Friday, and in particular at Docket Number 324, the Court 4 would note that in November, the debtor lost \$208,000. The 5 debtor is projected to lose \$360,000 in December. The 6 debtor does have cash on hand of a million eight, 7 receivables of 269, but against that, it has unpaid bills 8 incurred since the time of the filing of the bankruptcy of a 9 million 350 -- I'm sorry, a million 359. So net cash, you 10 know, very rough numbers, a little less than \$500,000. 11 The debtor has professional fees that it paid last 12 month of 182,500. Other than that, Your Honor, the debtor 13 has in the past only paid the professionals that were -- the 14 state court lawyers who you can tell those because they're 15 getting round numbers, you know, 150,000 or so. M3 has been on the job for about 60 days. We've asked, but we haven't 16 17 been able to find out what M3's costs are so far that 18 they've incurred. And I understand what the trustee's 19 lawyer is saying about the optics. And we don't want to put
- a governor just to put a governor on the thing, but we do
 think that it is not unreasonable to ask for some sort of
 budget, some sort of cap. And, Judge, if they say we need
 more, we're happy to come back, but we don't know what we're
 dealing with here. Well, you know, we -- I'm not going to
 say it's irresponsible to write them a blank check, but I'm

1 saying that it is reasonable to ask for some sort of budget, 2 some sort of cap, some sort of estimate so that everybody 3 can know what they're dealing with here. And in my mind, 4 some of the worst optics are walking in with open eyes into 5 an administrative insolvency situation which is good for 6 nobody, Judge. And so for that reason, we're asking that 7 there be something, you know, we're -- look if they say we 8 found out this -- I'm just making this up -- we found out 9 this whole other avenue that we need to pursue. Judge, you 10 know, it's going to be very difficult for us to stand here and say we're totally against that, right? We just want to 11 12 know what we're dealing with here and that's it. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else wish to be 14 heard on this matter? Let me just note that in the Free 15 Speech case, the Subchapter V trustee has requested the appointment of M3 as financial advisor. Mr. Griffith, I see 16 17 you there. There's no one questioning whether M3 is 18 qualified to do the work or whether they're disinterested. 19 So those issues, and based on my review, my independent 20 review of their application, I find that they are obviously 21 qualified and that they are essentially therefore able to be 22 retained in this case. I'm going to approve their 23 application. I'm going to overrule the object. I'm not 24 going to put a cap on this. I'm going to say this.

work has to get done. I ordered the work. I want the work

25

- 1 to get done and the work to get done right. I don't want
- 2 there to be any shortcuts or anyone to feel the pressure
- 3 that they have to do any shortcuts. That being said, their
- 4 application as any other professional who is retained in
- 5 this case, has to file a fee application. M3 knows that and
- 6 everyone will have an opportunity to review their
- 7 application. That's bankruptcy. There's a level of
- 8 transparency here in bankruptcy cases that gives everyone
- 9 the opportunity to see and file an objection when necessary
- 10 to do so. And that's the way it will proceed.
- I am concerned about the cost. I am concerned
- 12 about the solvency of this debtor and where things are
- going, but I don't want to sacrifice the work that I've
- ordered. I hear the arguments about the optics but I'm more
- focused on the process and the work that needs to get done
- and the fact that there will be transparency in the
- application. So I'm going to approve M3's application so
- 18 they can get to work.
- 19 This case is kind of -- I should say the Free
- 20 Speech case has been kind of paused because the parties are
- in mediation and I appreciate that. I don't want to push
- 22 and I want -- and I don't want to rush anything either. I
- have no idea what's going on in the mediation. I just know
- that the parties are in it and I want to give mediation
- every opportunity to work if that's what the parties work if

- 1 that's what the parties want to continue to do. I will let
- 2 you know, Miss Driver, I think there was a question about
- 3 whether you needed an order from me in the Jones case to
- 4 continue the mediation. If Judge Isgur is comfortable
- 5 wrapping all that in, I'm fine. I don't think you need one
- from me. But if feel like you do, kind of adding the Jones
- 7 case, then upload an order and let my case manager know and
- 8 I'll sign it. But I want to make this as efficient as
- 9 possible. Mr. Jones is already a part of it and certainly
- including you in that mediation, I don't see the need to
- 11 sign an order, but if you feel like you need one and the
- 12 parties feel like they want the extra comfort, then just
- 13 upload something and I'll sign it. And if Judge Isgur feels
- 14 like he wants one, obviously, just let me know. But if he's
- 15 comfortable proceeding, then someone let me know.
- I'm also going to use the January date that we set
- 17 -- I want to get it right -- the 20th at 11. I want to use
- 18 that as a status conference as well and maybe kind of keep
- 19 checking in and seeing what's going on with mediation and
- seeing how that's going, just generally, and if it's
- 21 continuing or if anything has changed. We'll continue to
- 22 use that.
- I don't know who exactly is going to seek to be
- 24 retained as committee counsel, but I want to make that as
- 25 efficient as possible as well. Get an application on file

- and if there are no objections to it, then let's use
- 2 certificate of no objection. Of if there's a tweak to it,
- 3 file a red line. I want to make that as efficient as
- 4 possible. If we need to have a hearing on it, obviously,
- 5 we'll have one. Maybe we can use that date if we need to.
- 6 If not, then someone file something and let my case manager
- 7 know and maybe I'll sign that without the need for a hearing
- 8 on that. But obviously everybody has the right to object
- 9 and take a look at stuff as well.
- 10 If anything comes up between now and then, just
- 11 let s know. If an emergency comes up, I'm just an email
- away from my case manager or a call away from my case
- manager if someone needs to hear from me in a 24-hour
- 14 period. I think we've covered everything, but if I missed
- 15 something, let me know.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: You've got them all, Judge. Ray
- 17 Battaglia for Free Speech Systems. There are a few things
- 18 that aren't on the docket, that if I just take a moment and
- 19 ask where we stand on some things.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay. There is one thing I have to
- 21 address at the very end.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: I'm happy to let you do that
- 23 first.
- 24 THE COURT: No, no. Miss Driver, did I cover all
- 25 the matters that were set for today?

- 1 MS. DRIVER: I believe you did, Your Honor. I was
- 2 just going to mention that Judge Isgur did ask Mr. Brimmage
- 3 and I to author an order for mediation. So you can expect
- 4 that. I'm going to press Mr. Brimmage and I to get that to
- 5 you this week before the holidays.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay.
- 7 MS. DRIVER: And then we will -- just to the
- 8 administrative insolvency of FSS, I just wanted to let Your
- 9 Honor know that I have been -- Mr. Battaglia and I have been
- 10 talking and Mr. Jones has a lot of business plans and
- 11 strategies that we really want to sit down and share with
- 12 Mr. McGill and I know that Mr. Battaglia is going to work
- 13 really hard to get us a sit down and really start to
- implement some of this. This company really went from a 6
- or 7 million dollar revenue company to like a 1.9 million
- dollar revenue company per month. And we really got to get
- 17 back to those levels of production to make this a profitable
- 18 company that can try to pay back its creditors.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Obviously, I want
- 20 the committee involved in those issues as well. That's a
- 21 committee issue. And so, folks who are going to represent
- the committee, obviously you all know what you're doing.
- 23 But if you need anything from the Court, you know how to
- file motions and get things in front of me if you need to.
- 25 Is there anything in the Alex Jones case from the committee

- 1 that I need to address today?
- 2 MR. BRIMMAGE: Your Honor, just one clarification
- 3 on a comment you must mentioned about our retention
- 4 application. We will get that going just as soon as
- 5 possible, but I think there's a notice period and if the
- 6 hearing is on the 20th, I also just want to raise we've got
- 7 a lot of people who are trying to be out this week and next
- 8 week. So we will get it done as soon as we can, but I just
- 9 wanted to raise that. We're not going to drag our feet --
- 10 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not going to hold you to it.
- 11 MR. BRIMMAGE: -- okay.
- 12 THE COURT: Do it in the ordinary course.
- MR. BRIMMAGE: Okay.
- 14 THE COURT: Whatever time it needs, it is what it
- is. And if you need a hearing, then we'll set a hearing, an
- 16 appropriate hearing time. I'm not jamming anyone, no one on
- 17 that.
- 18 MR. BRIMMAGE: Sounds good. I appreciate it. I
- 19 just wanted to clarify.
- THE COURT: No, I appreciate you doing that.
- MR. BRIMMAGE: I got a couple of quick emails from
- 22 some folks, like wait a second, wait, when?
- THE COURT: I wanted to make sure some poor
- 24 associate didn't think I was going to jam them.
- MR. BRIMMAGE: You just clarified that. Thank

- 1 you.
- THE COURT: No, no, no. All right. I appreciate
- 3 the clarification.
- 4 MR. BRIMMAGE: And let me ask Mr. Zensky or Miss
- 5 Brauner if they have anything they want to add on behalf of
- 6 the committee?
- 7 MR. ZENSKY: Nothing right now, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And please tell the
- 9 associates to relax. I promise I'm not jamming up. Mr.
- 10 Battaglia?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Yes, just a few things, Your
- 12 Honor. First of all, I would note and it's been mentioned
- 13 all of the monthly operating reports have been filed. We're
- 14 actually a day ahead right now. We're not only not behind,
- 15 we're a day ahead. Through November have been filed.
- 16 Expectation with Mr. McGill is that we will have P&L balance
- 17 sheets within the next 20 to 30 days. Obviously the MORs
- 18 for a Sub V debtor are a little different, a little shorter,
- 19 but I think they're informative. So we're catching up on
- 20 financial records and expect to have weekly sales reports,
- 21 MORs on time and distributed as appropriate. So that's an
- 22 advisory to the Court.
- The debtor filed at Docket Number 264 a motion to
- 24 reject a lease with REM, or executory contract, the REM
- 25 Financial Services contract. I filed a C&O on that, ECF 294

- 1 I have order. So the proposed order was attached as an
- 2 exhibit to the C&O.
- 3 THE COURT: 264?
- 4 MR. BATTAGLIA: Was the motion and the C&O was
- 5 294. So whenever the Court gets an opportunity. It's not
- 6 urgent, but it's pending and I'd like to check the box.
- 7 THE COURT: No, no. I appreciate you letting me
- 8 know.
- 9 MR. BATTAGLIA: Secondarily, there was a TUFTA
- 10 lawsuit filed in state court that was removed by the debtor.
- 11 And Judge Parker in the Western District transferred it to
- 12 the home court, i.e. you. There is an agreement among the
- 13 parties reflected in stipulation and there is no reason this
- 14 would have been called to your attention, but to abate
- 15 matters pending, there were four or five different triggers
- 16 that would remove it from suspension, one of them basically
- 17 based on the mediation. And although it's in Western
- 18 District format for entry of an order, which means four
- 19 inches at the top --
- THE COURT: Which means that half the page is
- 21 empty at the top?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Yes, sir, and we're happy to
- 23 reformat but we do need to get it entered because otherwise
- I have a remand response deadline.
- THE COURT: No, that's fine. Where do I find it?

- 1 MR. BATTAGLIA: It's the sole associated case. I
- 2 think there's one adversary. Let me see. I may have it.
- 3 It was 22-06017, but I'm not sure what it became when it
- 4 moved. It's Neal Heslin, Scarlett Lewis, et al versus Alex
- 5 Jones, et al.
- THE COURT: I see it, yeah, I see it. Where's the
- 7 stip? On the joint stip, I see it.
- 8 MR. BATTAGLIA: So nobody misses a deadline.
- 9 THE COURT: Let me take a quick look at this.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Mr. Moshenberg was involved in
- 11 that, so he can address it as well.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. I read this before. Yeah, yeah.
- 13 Mr. Chapple is involved. Is this the one, Mr. Martin, Mr.
- 14 Chapple, Mr. Battaglia? You all signed that?
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. I am not signing it at the
- 17 top. You just won't get me to do it. I will sign this stip
- 18 and order. Let's see. It works for me. I'm just going
- 19 them some hell, the Western District. Okay. I will sign
- 20 both of those orders right now. I just moved them over.
- 21 I'll get that done.
- MR. BATTAGLIA: Thank you, Your Honor. So I owe
- you an order on the Texas appellate counsel and I'll upload
- that tomorrow at the latest.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Can you let my case manager

- 1 know so we know?
- 2 MR. BATTAGLIA: I will copy her when I upload it.
- 3 And with that, I think we're done. Oh, I think Mr. Shannon
- 4 --
- 5 THE COURT: So that was going to be my question.
- 6 I know that there's been a motion for reconsideration filed
- 7 by Schwartz and Mr. Shannon. The question was do you all
- 8 want a hearing on that? And if so, when do you want a
- 9 hearing? I'm happy to go it. I'd rather do that as a one
- off, which is probably a little bit different than an
- omnibus matter. I can take that up or do it on the papers.
- 12 You tell me.
- MR. SHANNON: Your Honor, I do think we would like
- 14 a hearing.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay.
- 16 MR. SHANNON: I believe sometime in the middle of
- 17 next month. I understand if you don't want to do it with
- 18 everything else going on.
- 19 THE COURT: No, no, I just think it's easier. I'm
- 20 going to give you all a full and fair opportunity and I
- 21 don't want ten motions at the same time.
- MR. SHANNON: Understood, Your Honor. I'm happy
- 23 to hear dates when the Court is available. I can do this
- offline with your case manager or however you'd like. I
- 25 believe Mr. Ridulfo is on the line or Mr. Schwartz if you

- 1 want to schedule it now, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: What I'm going to ask you all to do is
- 3 to just get with my case manager. We'll pick a time in --
- 4 can you just let -- well, let's just see.
- 5 MR. BRIMMAGE: Your Honor, Marty Brimmage, if I
- 6 just may be heard briefly.
- 7 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 8 MR. BRIMMAGE: Are the parties envisioning an all
- 9 out evidentiary hearing on these motions or are these more
- 10 legal arguments? And I just ask that from a time standpoint
- and a preparation standpoint for the upcoming hearings.
- 12 THE COURT: You're right one because that's what
- 13 I'm trying to understand. One, do you need a hearing and
- two, what's the hearing going to look like?
- 15 MR. SHANNON: Sure. So Your Honor I do think that
- 16 -- there are two motions that are pending. One is a Rule 59
- 17 motion for a rehearing under Rule 59(a). The other is an
- 18 administrative expense motion, which the crux of seeks
- 19 retroactive approval of limited employment before a limited
- 20 period and potentially a limited scope. I think there are
- 21 three issues that come up under that. And the first is, you
- 22 know, can the professionals actually present the evidence
- and arguments, you know, about whether it meets the
- 24 standards for employment? Two, does it actually meet those
- 25 standards? And then three, are the actual evidentiary

- 1 matters right and if so, what is the appropriate amount of
- 2 the administrative expense claim? So I could see doing that
- 3 in two stages possibly. If that's the way the Court wants
- 4 to do it, I think that's fine. On the other hand, I can see
- 5 doing it all as one.
- 6 THE COURT: I want to do it all as one. I want
- 7 you to tee it up and we'll deal with evidentiary issues
- 8 right there on the stop. And I'll rule on them and take the
- 9 motion for reconsideration in both cases. The answer is it
- 10 sounds like I just need to give you all a little bit of
- 11 time, maybe block off an hour or two. I'm going to mess
- 12 this up, but why don't you get with my case manager. Just
- 13 make sure that -- I know some folks have filed an object. I
- 14 know Subchapter V Trustee has filed an objection, Mr. Jones
- 15 has filed an objection. Just make sure whatever date we
- 16 pick, let's do it in January, pick a date where there's an
- 17 afternoon. Block off an afternoon.
- 18 MR. SHANNON: I'll get some options and then I'll
- 19 touch base with the parties to make sure.
- 20 THE COURT: Right now, frankly, the afternoons --
- 21 again, that can change, so you really want to nail this
- down, but right now the afternoons, the second and third
- 23 weeks, except for the 20th, obviously, those days look okay
- 24 if we need an afternoon. But again, I want to make sure
- 25 that everyone who needs to be there can be there. I'm not

- 1 going to jam anyone on some dates. So let's just -- if the
- 2 parties can't work it and we need a status conference, then
- 3 obviously, I'll jump on early next week and we'll pick a
- 4 date and we'll go forward. I think the issues are fairly
- 5 teed up already, nothing more to do than just kind of see
- 6 where we go.
- 7 MR. SHANNON: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Ridulfo, that will
- 9 apply to Mr. Schwartz if he wants to go forward on his as
- 10 well. We'll just pick a date and we'll do them both at the
- 11 same time. I think the issues are fairly overlapping.
- MR. RIDULFO: They are, Judge, they are.
- MR. SHANNON: Yes, sir, I agree. I agree.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brimmage, in terms of tying
- it up, I'm going to let them put on their case and if
- there's evidentiary objections, I'm going to let you make
- 17 them and we'll take them up at that time. I don't, I don't
- 18 know enough about how they're going to present their issues
- 19 and I don't want to prejudge it. The motion for
- 20 reconsideration, those are pretty standard. The motion for
- 21 an admin expense, I think it is kind of a little different
- and I'm going to let them try to put them on their case.
- 23 I'm going to let anyone who wants to oppose whatever it is
- 24 oppose it. And we'll take it up at that time. Is there
- 25 anything else we need to talk about?

- 1 MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor, that sounds totally
- 2 fair. I just wanted to highlight we'll have to get some
- 3 discovery from them about how they envision their case
- 4 because I can envision there could be some discovery and
- 5 depositions in advance of the evidentiary hearing, but we'll
- 6 work through that before the hearing.
- 7 THE COURT: I figured that and that's why I didn't
- 8 pick a date. Because if I pick a date, I'm going to do the
- 9 exact same thing. And you're going to start getting emails
- 10 about what does that mean? And I wanted to pick a date
- 11 where it all makes sense. Maybe the parties can kind of
- 12 figure it out. I'll be open. My case manager will know.
- 13 She'll get you a date. But I think off docket makes a lot
- 14 more sense than kind of doing it on a joint basis. Whatever
- date you all pick, and it doesn't have to be January. It's
- 16 whatever date makes sense. And if the parties need a status
- 17 conference on it, just let me know. Okay?
- 18 MR. BATTAGLIA: Your Honor, for Free Speech
- 19 Systems, we have nothing further. Thank you for the Court's
- 20 time today.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. And for the Alex Jones case,
- 22 Miss Driver, anything else?
- MS. DRIVER: No, Your Honor, thank you so much for
- 24 your time.
- THE COURT: All right, folks. Anything else we

```
need to talk about in the courtroom or on video? All right,
 1
     everyone. Thank you for your time. Happy holidays to
 2
 3
     everyone. You all take care.
 4
          (Proceedings adjourned at 4:24 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Sonya M. deslarski Hyde Sonya Ledanski Hyde Veritext Legal Solutions 330 Old Country Road Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 Date: December 27, 2022